

REMARKS

The Office Action dated 28 March 2005 has been reviewed, and the comments of the Patent Office considered. Claims 1, 4-8 and 10 have been amended, claims 2, 3, 9, 11 and 12 remain as originally filed. Thus, claims 1-12 are respectfully submitted for reconsideration by the Examiner. The indication that claims 1-12 contain allowable subject matter is greatly appreciated.

The drawings were objected to for the alleged informalities set forth in the Office Action under the heading "Drawings." These objections are respectfully traversed in view of the submission of replacement sheets that are being filed concurrently herewith under separate cover. Specifically, Figure 1 has been amended to include a bracket to embrace the separate parts, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.84(h)(1), and Figure 8 has been amended to replace reference number "40" with reference number --50--, in accordance with the Examiner's helpful suggestion. Additionally, the brief description of Figure 1 in the Specification as originally filed has also been amended to particularly point out that the internal voltage generator 1, address circuit 2, and data output circuit 3 are separate from each other.

Thus, it is respectfully submitted that the objections to the drawings should be withdrawn.

The specification was objected to for the alleged informalities set forth in the Office Action under the heading "Specification." These objections are respectfully traversed in view of the above amendments to the specification. Specifically, the specification has been amended in accordance with the Examiner's helpful suggestions, and to rectify typographical errors.

Thus, it is respectfully submitted that the objections to the specification should be withdrawn.

Claims 1-4, 6, 8 and 9 were objected to for the alleged informalities set forth in the Office Action under the heading "Claim Objections." These objections are respectfully traversed in view of the above amendments to claims 1, 6 and 8, which have been amended in accordance with the Examiner's helpful suggestions.

Thus, it is respectfully submitted that the objections to the claims should be withdrawn.

Claims 1-12 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite. These rejections are respectfully traversed in view of the above amendments to claims

1, 4, 5, 7 and 8, which have been amended in accordance with the Examiner's helpful suggestions.


Thus, it is respectfully submitted that the rejections to the claims should be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

In view of the above remarks, Applicant respectfully requests that all objections and rejections be withdrawn and that a notice of allowance be forthcoming. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned for any reason related to the advancement of this case.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees due under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17 or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account 08-1641.

Respectfully submitted,



Scott J. Anchell
Agent for Applicant
Registration No. 35,035

Customer No. 26633

28 June 2005

Heller Ehrman LLP
1717 Rhode Island Avenue N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
Telephone: (202) 912-2000
Facsimile: (202) 912-2020

DC 230261 v2
(40296.0043)